

The China policy of the German Government is bad for Germany, Europe and climate protection!

By Juergen Kurz, founding member of THE GREENS

Nov 2022

China's CP C recently ended its 20th party congress. Attentive listeners will learn in which direction China is developing and what China can expect in the future.

One can state: The management team of what is still the second largest (soon to be the largest) economy in the world, which in the past 40 years after the opening policy has made an unprecedented rise in human history, from the poor house in Asia to the power house of the world economy, the second largest trading partner (behind Europe!) of the former export world champion Germany, meets at its party conference, which takes place every 5 years and is of paramount importance for the development of China.

Xi Jinping, hate object of the western China bashers and the activism anti-China media but not "the autocratic ruler in China" has submitted a detailed report lasting around 2 hours, which is also easy available in English translation. (Please download a pdf Version from my Homepage)

What do we learn about it from our media? Not much!

According to our mainstream media, the 6-day party congress dealt with Taiwan, Xi's rumored claim to omnipotence and the aging Hu Jintao.

According to our media, the only thing that seems to concern the 1.4 billion people in China and what they will be dealing with in the coming years is – Taiwan.

Years ago, Kishore Mahbubani, a diplomat from Singapore and a respected expert on China/USA relations, eloquently satirized this irrational, all-ignoring world view of the West in his book "Has the West Lost It?". His last book "Has China won?" is also an urgent admonition to the West not to oversleep the development and changes, i.e. Asia's departure into the future.

Around 4.5 billion people live on the Asian continent, which is more than 50% of the world's population. 2.8 billion of them in China and India alone. What is happening in these two countries today has a massive impact on our future prosperity. Good reasons to take a closer look at the development of what is currently the strongest Asian country, China, which historically has always had the greatest influence in Asia.

China competence is probably one of the most important skills in politics and the so-called quality media today. China competence does not mean multiplying existing narratives, it means much more questioning these narratives and rather verifying them. does this happen ?

No ! Our media report unified about Taiwan and that without considering the facts. Leading German medias give the impression that Xi Jinping (in person) wants to militarily invade Taiwan next weekend and bring him home to the Reich. The whole thing is underpinned by an alleged quote from Xi's speech in which he does not rule out the use of force in the Taiwan issue.

Taiwan is China's Taiwan. Resolving the Taiwan question is a matter for the Chinese, a matter that must be resolved by the Chinese. We will continue to strive for peaceful reunification with the greatest sincerity and the utmost effort, but we will never promise to renounce the use of force, and we reserve the option of taking all measures necessary. This is directed solely at interference by outside forces and the few separatists seeking "Taiwan independence" and their separatist activities; it is by no means targeted at our Taiwan compatriots.

The central statement of this section, that China is in no way striving for a forced reunification, does not appear in the mutually copying German leading media!

But anyone who has read Chinese statements over the past 20 years will not see anything new in the Taiwan question, except that China is now dealing with the issue more confidently.

So much to China competence and objective "comprehensive" reporting on the 20th Party Congress of the CCP.

Xi's two-hour speech would be worth taking note of and critically evaluating in a qualified manner in our media and, above all, in my party.

Are they not able to do it, can they not do it or do they want to it?

Xi describes where the Chinese journey is headed: more openness, more cooperation, more innovation, even greater support for rural regions, more climate protection, more international cooperation to further reduce the gap between north and south. More democratic participation and self-government at the local level, preservation of Chinese cultural monuments, more tourism in remote regions to stabilize income opportunities in this regions and, among other things, more control of the IT giants in the country and otherwise pushing back the influence of private corporations in social media.

Western media reports very poor: Taiwan...and Xi the evil dictator oppresses his people with 1.4 billion people...

Does anyone notice how absurd these allegations are? Why should people submit like subjects to an "evil dictator" when they live in a country that:

- registers the most patents worldwide,
- runs most super computers,
- that shows us how e-mobility works, that leads the world in battery technology, which is extremely important for climate protection,
- has successfully combated poverty,
- Before the pandemic, sent around 100 million people abroad each year (they all came back to China voluntarily!),
- which connects the largest number of wind power plants and solar panels to the grid each year,
- manages the electrical network with AI, because it must be controlled intelligently with increasing solar energy,

Why is there no collective civil disobedience in China against this "diabolical, power-hungry" dictator?

Let's ask the question directly: Do we, and especially many of the actors in my party, perhaps have a somewhat unrealistic perception of what is happening in China?

I know this question is an impertinence. Immediately comes as reply: What about Hong Kong, what about the poor Uyghurs in Xinjiang? You are a Holocaust denier... according to

the broad chorus of do-gooders, including on Twitter, who are quite sure that someone who, after 20 years of China experience, makes different statements about China than the German Mainstream Medias, does not care at all about human rights.

No, I care about human rights and as a historically educated person who co-founded THE GREENS 42 years ago in Germany out of conviction, I am not a Holocaust denier. I learned our history. This is exactly what tempted me to speak up again today, because I see the central difference to China.

But I care very much how we in Germany gamble away our future and our social peace. The image of China projected in the green and liberal party policy is irrational and should Scholz (SPD) give in to his China policy, then we will gamble away the future of Germany as a location, and we will gain resulting social upheavals. Anyone who claims that Germany is not dependent on good cooperation with China is lying or pursuing a covert interest policy.

China is not a western democracy. Nor does it want to be. China is a socialist country with the CPC as the leading group, which today has around 90 million members, and to which every Chinese person can join and contribute. In the CPC, people are systematically trained to become leaders.

What's wrong with it ?

Just because it's different from ours and many of my GREEN party colleagues don't know the system, or don't understand it, doesn't mean it should be condemned. The Chinese have the right to create their own model of society that suits them best after many troubled years. And the figures show that it fits: Which country can claim to have increased its GDP almost 50-fold within 30 years and lifted more than 700 million people out of poverty?

This is a major contribution to the UN Human Rights Convention, which we don't even casually mention, even though our balance sheet with the increasing poverty that has still not been contained, or the European refugee policy, is by far no better!

Is such a result achievable with bad governance or oppression of the people? No, of course not, something like this only works if government and people cooperate.

Especially we GREENS, who have always valued our multicultural, international tolerance, seem to completely forget multiculturalism when dealing with China. Under the cloak of universal human rights, our "value-based" foreign policy completely redefines multiculturalism from the point of view of Western-style good people, but certainly without Chinese culture.

Instead of analyzing impartially what Xi Jinping communicated to the congress and the world public in his 2-hour speech, we focus our indignation on a short passage on Taiwan, in which Xi clearly states that China continues to work towards a peaceful settlement of the Taiwan matter, but insists that it is a Chinese question, in accordance with international law.

Why do we overlook all the positive projects for urban development, for the expansion of infrastructure, for the ecosystem, for species and climate protection, etc., which were found similarly in our earlier election programs and are now on the agenda in the largest country in the world?

With this condescending conflict strategy, the traffic light, and my party in particular, is missing the historically important opportunity to work together with China to counter the climate catastrophe.

Cooperation is the order of the day, not conflict escalation!

It is absurd to believe that the current green policy of conflict and instruction can achieve more and change things in China than with a reserved, cooperative approach. Both for climate protection and for human rights, if they are important to you. It is no coincidence

that the German economy is so strongly represented in China. This strength certainly does not come from a permanent conflict position.

Why does hardly anyone in our party want to hear that?